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A SUFI LEGACY IN TUNIS: PRAYER AND THE SHADHILIYYA

In the following article, I present an account of the legacy of the famous saintly mystic Abu al-Hasan al-Shadhili (d. 1258). The parameters of the study will be narrowed geographically to Tunis and thematically to prayer. Tunis played an important role in the formation of the saint’s tariqa (mystical order or brotherhood, pl. tariqah), and the city today still has a branch of the brotherhood and a number of sacred sites. The theme of prayer as used here includes prayer texts and a wide variety of activity, from popular devotions to spiritual discipline. As will become clear, this is a central element in any discussion of the tariqa’s organization, ritual, and literature. In addition to the brotherhood and the sites, there is a Tunisian edition of the only recorded compositions of the saint, his prayers—known as ahzāb (sing. hizb).1 This study will thus reflect the saint, his brotherhood, and the use of the ahzāb as integrated elements of the living Shadhili legacy in Tunis. This presentation will go beyond the usual academic treatments of Sufism, which rarely enter the modern period and are concerned mostly with the larger Sufi treatises. I hope not only to bring to light the importance of some lesser known liturgical and ritual practices, but also to begin to appreciate the “lesser tradition,” as it were, of Sufi prayer texts.

Probably because they fall somewhere between the “greater” works of the Sufi literary tradition and studies in the history of Sufi tariqah and institutions, the study of the ahzāb and their recitation has largely been neglected by scholarship. Studies of Sufi ritual have also failed to address the importance of prayer recital, in large part due to their narrow focus on the theoretical accounts that dominate the sources.2 Also, because of the importance of dhikr (to be discussed later) in both theory and practice, prayer recitation is usually ignored.3 This problem is compounded by the fact that there is so little in the “greater” Sufi works, and the manuals specific to the tariqah, concerning the theory of hizb recitation. In fact, these manuals—in the case of the Shadhiliyya—provide precious little as regards the actual dynamic of the individual’s experience in relation to the prayer text and to the group among whom the recitation takes place. Although not dealt with in this essay, the extant commentaries on the ahzāb are promising in this regard and deserve further study.4 While the scope and priorities of this essay do not allow a full textual analysis of the ahzāb to be included, I hope the approach adopted will demonstrate that these are a key functional element in the liturgy and spiritual practice of the brotherhood.

Richard J. A. McGregor is a Ph.D. student in the Institute of Islamic Studies, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.

© 1997 Cambridge University Press 0020–7438/97 $7.50 + .10
I will begin with the hagiographical sources for the life of the saint and provide a short biographical sketch. I will then turn to the shaykh's institutional legacy, his order. The organization and function of this order in the city of Tunis will be my primary focus. As will be seen, a large part of this function is related to prayer—both ritual (performed or recited) and written. From there, I will move to a survey of some of this written tradition, in addition to discussing the ritual dimension. The final section will attempt to lay bare the significance of this dual nature of the phenomenon of prayer for the Shadhiliyya brotherhood.

**The Life of the Shaykh**

The biography of the founder of the Shadhiliyya tariqa, according to the hagiographical sources, concerns itself with the two areas of Morocco (Maghrib al-Aqsa) and Tunisia (Ifriqiyya), and later with Egypt. All of these sources appear to stem from two hagiographic efforts on the life of the Shaykh ʿAli ibn ʿAbd Allah ibn ʿAbd al-Jabbar Abu al-Hasan al-Shadhili.

The earlier account, written by the man usually considered the second successor to al-Shadhili himself, is the more widely known. The author, Ibn ʿAtaʾ Allah al-Iskandari, who died in 1309, can also be considered the primary systematizer of the tariqa, because in addition to his hagiographic effort on al-Shadhili, entitled Laṭāʾif al-minan (The Book of the Divine Blessings), he penned such notable works as Miftāḥ al-falāḥ (The Key to Success) and Al-qāṣḍ al-mujarrad (The Pure Goal). But Ibn ʿAtaʾ Allah’s recognition as a writer extends beyond the Shadhiliyya, and is due to his famous Kitāb al-ḥikām (The Book of Wisdom), known throughout the Muslim world.

The second account of the shaykh’s life was written around 1320 by a “comparatively inconspicuous devotee” of the tariqa, Abu al-Qasim al-Himyari, more often known as Ibn al-Sabbagh. He undertook this project within a century of al-Shadhili’s death (1258), interviewing a number of members of his immediate family and authorities within the brotherhood. The title of this work is Durrat al-asrār wa tuḥfat al-abrār (The Pearl of Secrets and the Gift of the Pious).

Although these two histories follow the same general line with regard to the shaykh’s life, they often differ on details. Ibn al-Sabbagh and Ibn ʿAtaʾ Allah both give their subject’s birthplace as the tribal region of Ghumarah in Morocco (south of Ceuta), but from the first the date may be calculated at around 1187, while the second is silent on the subject. The early religious history of Ghumarah included fairly widespread Kharijite affinities and a great many religious pretenders, one of whom was responsible for the assassination of al-Shadhili’s most famous teacher, Ibn Mashish (d. 1225), but from the first the date may be calculated at around 1187, while the second is silent on the subject. The early religious history of Ghumarah included fairly widespread Kharijite affinities and a great many religious pretenders, one of whom was responsible for the assassination of al-Shadhili’s most famous teacher, Ibn Mashish (d. 1225). This teacher, who had ties to the great Spanish mystic Abu Madyan (d. 1198), had established himself in a zāwiya on Jabal ʿAlam. It is there that al-Shadhili made contact with him, but only after having gone through what one scholar describes as a spiritual crisis, which sent him on a search for al-Shadhili’s most famous teacher, Ibn Mashish (d. 1225). This teacher, who had ties to the great Spanish mystic Abu Madyan (d. 1198), had established himself in a zāwiya on Jabal ʿAlam. It is there that al-Shadhili made contact with him, but only after having gone through what one scholar describes as a spiritual crisis, which sent him on a search for the prominent Sufi figure al-Wasiti (d. 1234) to return to the Maghrib, where he would find who he was looking for. According to al-Shadhili, this was Ibn Mashish.

None of the sources specifies how long al-Shadhili spent at the zāwiya of Ibn Mashish upon his return, but it was long enough for the master to recognize the maturity attained by the pupil. Ibn Mashish then sent the student out on his own, spe-
cifically to Ifriqiyya. There al-Shadhili took to performing miracles (karāmāt)\textsuperscript{17} and living as an ascetic. Despite being at this period a sāḥīḥ (vagabond ascetic),\textsuperscript{18} he became associated with the village of Shadhila, some distance south of Tunis, because of his practice of retreating into the nearby cave on Jabal Zaghwan. Having attracted a substantial following, the shaykh moved to Tunis. According to Elmer H. Douglas, this happened in 1228, the same year that Abu Zakariyya—a Hafsid still nominally under the Alomhads—came to power.\textsuperscript{19} During his rule Abu Zakariyya built the first madrasa in Tunis and established an important library, contributing substantially to religious education in the city.

As he had done on Jabal Zaghwan, al-Shadhili continued his practice of retreating to a cave for meditation and religious discipline (khalwa),\textsuperscript{20} and in Tunis he chose the mountain and cave located in the Jallaz cemetery. It was on this site later that the Shadhiliyya zāwiya would be built.

But al-Shadhili’s stay in Tunis would not be permanent. Ibn al-Sabbagh tells us that the local fuqahā’ (jurists) rallied against him and that he stood accused of being a Fatimid agent. In the face of this persecution, he eventually set out for Egypt.\textsuperscript{21} From Ibn Ātā’ Allah, the date of this departure can be calculated as 1252,\textsuperscript{22} though he is silent on the subject of the “persecution” of the shaykh. One modern scholar, while investigating this discrepancy, has produced evidence that casts serious doubt on the historical validity of any “persecution.”\textsuperscript{23} Whatever the circumstances, al-Shadhili was moving into a new stage of his career. In Egypt, his home became Alexandria where he set up a zāwiya, attracted more followers, and laid the basis for his new tariqa. A. M. Mohamed Mackeen concludes from this development that “the real birthplace of the Shadhiliyyah was certainly Egypt. Here it grew into a self-conscious body with a definite step toward theoretical expansion.”\textsuperscript{24} This theoretical expansion would be undertaken by the great minds of the Order, such as Abu al-ʿAbbas al-Mursi (d. 1287), the first successor (khalifa) to al-Shadhili, Ibn Ātā’ Allah (d. 1309), and others.

The major events of the shaykh’s life are agreed upon not only by Ibn Sabbagh and Ibn Ātā’ Allah, but also by most subsequent hagiographers.\textsuperscript{25} It is therefore interesting to note that the short introduction to the ahzāb and ważā’ifā prayer collection used by the Shadhiliyya in Tunis today, entitled Nibrās al-atqiyyā’ wa dalīl al-anqiyyā’, gives a biographical sketch of the saint that presents him much more as a local son. A notable statement in the Nibrās al-atqiyyā’\textsuperscript{2} is the claim that al-Shadhili came to Tunisia at the age of ten. This scenario goes beyond the Maghrib-oriented Durrat al-asrār in setting al-Shadhili more firmly in his Tunisian milieu and playing down his Moroccan birthplace. Even the mention of his Moroccan spiritual master, Ibn Mašīš, is minimized while his ties to Tunisian teachers are emphasized. The following statement occurs in the first three pages:

Tunis boasts that it embraced the imam of the spiritual path, the quṭb of the earth, the companion of the Path and the Truth, Shaykh Abu al-Ḥasan ʿAlī al-Shadhili while he was in his youth. It was responsible for the stages of his learning, and it was ordained by God that in its places of worship and institutions he would be granted both the penetration of the secret of Unity and the wearing of the mantle (burdā) of Sufism. . . .

[In 602 (1206) he arrived from Ghumara, Morocco, being ten years old [since he was born in 593 (1196)]. He became the student of Tunisian shaykhs such as Abu Saʿīd al-Bajī (d. 1156) and Abu ʿAbd Allah bin Harazim (d. 1235) and he left Tunisia when he was 20 years old during the year 613 (1216) entering the lands of the East to study the science of Shariʿa and
Haqiqa, and joining up with such knowledgeable people as Abu Fath al-Wasiti and Ibn Abd al-Salam (Mashish).

Then he returned to Tunis to propagate his tariqa and did not leave until the year 642 (1244), going to Egypt where he died in 654 (1258).

According to the chronology of the Nibrās al-atqiya, al-Shadhili spent his first ten years in Morocco, thirty-eight in Tunisia—except for a voyage to the East (his return to Ghumara is only implied by the name Ibn Abd al-Salam)—and his final fourteen in Egypt. No other hagiographical source, according to my research, contains such extensive dating or emphasis on the saint’s Tunisian education. In light of the early hagiographies previously cited, we may conclude that the Nibrās al-atqiya reflects a local tradition that presents al-Shadhili as rather more Tunisian. This local tradition (with which we may associate the Durrat al-asrār) includes miracle stories of some of the saint’s Tunisian companions. The historical value of this tradition has yet to be fully studied.

THE SHADHILIYYA IN TUNIS

The following will present the reader with an account of the function and the organization of the Shadhiliyya tariqa and its home zāwiya. Through a discussion of its function I will deal with both the tariqa’s relation to political authority and its position in popular religious life. It will be seen that in the latter position, the zāwiya has an important role to play as a place of baraka (grace) and of spiritual intercession. Through a discussion of organization I hope to show how the religious needs of both the members of the tariqa and of the unaffiliated visitors are met. With this context established, in the following section specific forms of prayer will be highlighted.

A distinction worth highlighting is that the Shadhiliyya in Tunis is a tariqa, but that Sufi tariqas are not necessarily attached to any particular locale, for various branches of one order use a number of separate locations in larger cities. On the other hand, a zāwiya is a location. As mentioned earlier, it is a place of worship that usually includes the grave of a saint. The Shadhiliyya in Tunis represents a somewhat unusual situation in that it is a brotherhood centered on a zāwiya that was built over a site made holy by the founder of the tariqa, but which does not include his grave. The site is the cave (maghrāba) used by al-Shadhili as a place of spiritual retreat during his time in Tunis. The zāwiya, located in the great Jallaz cemetery, is called simply Sidi Belhassen.

This zāwiya consists principally of a lower mosque built over the cave and an upper mosque, some seventy meters away, built on the location of one of al-Shadhili’s visions of Muhammad. In this vision, the Prophet promised he would visit the hadra at this site one Thursday each summer in the second half of the night. It is for this reason that the upper mosque was built at this location and is open for only the fourteen weeks of the summer during the entire year. The zāwiya serves as a meeting place for the brotherhood. It is there on Fridays after the evening prayer (ṣalāt al-maghrib) that the Qur’an is read and the prayers (ahzāb) of al-Shadhili are recited collectively by the members. Essentially the same thing happens on the summertime Thursdays, although a small dhikr ceremony (a few dozen men) is added, which lasts for most of the rest of the night. A larger dhikr is also held on Saturday mornings,
throughout the year, in the lower mosque (in 1991, I counted roughly 140 men and children and 70 women outside). The zāwiya is also a sacred site for many people who are in no way affiliated with the ṭariqa. The cave and the site of al-Shadhili’s vision are accessible to the public only at certain times, but most of the zāwiya, and in particular its two wells, are readily open to visitors when their presence does not interfere with the activities of the brotherhood.

Although the term zāwiya (literally, a “corner”) for the most part signifies a place, it can take on a somewhat different meaning. As an institution, a zāwiya may be simply the manifestation of an extended family that has acquired some form of religious significance (usually described as having baraka). Among the mountain settlers in northwestern Africa, Ernest Gellner distinguishes this type of institution and contrasts it with its form in more populated areas. He writes, “the zāwiya in a tribe is a kin group, but in the city, although it may have a kin-defined nucleus, it is essentially a religious club, recruited by enthusiasm or religious interest, and defined not by kinship but by specialized ritual practices.” The zāwiya of Sidi Belhassen certainly conforms to the latter model.

Sidi Belhassen in the modern era of the Republic of Tunisia (est. 1956) has been one of the very few Sufi institutions to receive government support. The zāwiya itself is for the most part maintained by money from the national and city governments. There are probably two reasons for this favor. The first is that the Shadhiliyya is the most conservative and moderate ṭariqa in Tunis, thereby making it a safe institution to support for a government that is often popularly seen as being “un-Islamic.” The second and probably more important reason is the long history of affiliation of much of the religious elite of Tunis with the ṭariqa. A large part of the conservative attraction of the Shadhiliyya in Tunis is the perception, fully supported by the brotherhood itself, that it is not the product of a subdivision (jā’īfa) of the original ṭariqa of al-Shadhili. A part of its legitimacy is based on its claim to function in strict accordance with the rule laid down by the founder. In fact, the present shaykh of the zāwiya (simultaneously the head of the brotherhood in Tunis), Hassen Belhassen, made it clear to me that Sidi Belhassen is not a marabout (a site of saint veneration), saying, “On n’a pas le culte des saints ici.” This claim that the zāwiya is free of the “cult of the saint” underlines the conservative nature of the ṭariqa.

Although the zāwiya and the ṭariqa are officially supported, they continue to maintain the functions that serve the religious needs of the population at large. The concepts of baraka, healing, saintly intercession, and so on are current in varying degrees among both the brothers (ikhwān) of the ṭariqa and the unaffiliated visitors.

The importance of the saint in Sufi tradition is undeniable, although opinions vary on its origins and orthodoxy. Ignaz Goldziher saw the cult of the saint as a pre-Islamic survival against which the “enlightened” preach, whereas Danielle Provan-sal claimed that Islamic saints simply took the place of earlier legendary heroes, Mediterranean gods, witches, and genies. But no matter what its origins, the idea of the wāli, the holy man and the protégé of God, is fully part of the Islamic religious worldview. An important component of this subject is the role played by the descendants of the Prophet (sayyid, sharif, ahl al-bayt). These individuals have always been revered in one way or another throughout the Islamic world, and in North Africa this descent became associated with claims to baraka. In a recent article, Valerie J. Hoffman-Ladd discusses the reverence for the ahl al-bayt in modern Egyptian Sufism.
Gellner also discusses the rise of sharif families in his study of the mountain settlers of Morocco and Algeria. He describes this religious authority, once established, as charisma “routinized by kinship.”

In the case of al-Shadhili, this prestige is secured by a claim to ‘Alid descent. The baraka attributed to the zāwiya, to the ūriqa, and to the shaykh are crucial elements in the legitimacy and religious significance of the Shadhiliyya. The zāwiya is a holy site due primarily to its position over al-Shadhili’s cave. According to Provansal, the sacred sites in popular North African Islam achieved their status long ago, with the sanctity of earlier animist sites (e.g., trees, streams, caves) being incorporated into popular Islamic practice through association with a local saint. Even restricting ourselves to the Islamic tradition, the image of the cave is well known and weighted with significance. The Qur'an relates the story of the Companions of the Cave, and narrates the shelter found by Muhammad and Abu Bakr in the cave of Thawr (Qur'an 18:9, 9:40). But doubtless the most important cave in Islamic religious history is that of Hira, where, according to hadith literature, Muhammad received his first revelation. The stories of the prophet retreating from the busy world to a cave for spiritual reflection (khalwa) are in form echoed by the hagiographies of al-Shadhili. It should not be surprising therefore to hear in popular Tunisian lore concerning the maghāra of Sidi Belhassen that the Prophet Moses had used this same cave for his khalwa.

The “baraka status” of the Sidi Belhassen zāwiya is reinforced by the activities of the brotherhood. During the dhikr and hizb recitations on Saturday mornings, many women gather in the antechamber not to participate in the recitations but to benefit by their mere proximity to the hadra. Although the women cannot view the proceedings, they can easily hear the goings on. They often break into a ululating chorus and, on occasion, one or more women will produce ecstatic trancelike calls.

The Shadhiliyya order has an unusual relationship with the zāwiya of Sidi Mehrez (Abu Muhammad Mahraz al-Siddiqi, d. 1022), located in the old medina of Tunis, which is not home to any ūriqa or tāʾifā. A group from the Shadhiliyya gathers at this tomb-shrine every Wednesday morning to recite ahzāb and to perform a short dhikr. The reason given for this is that al-Shadhili, when he first entered Tunis, went to the grave of Sidi Mehrez to read and recite prayers. It is in honor of this that the hadra gathers there. It is interesting to note that Sidi Mehrez is the patron saint of Tunis and in fact was not primarily a religious figure. Rather, he was known for his charity and defense of the Jewish minority of the city. The association of the Shadhiliyya with Sidi Mehrez serves both to raise the status of the tomb-shrine to that of an active zāwiya and to reinforce the civic prestige of the Shadhiliyya order.

The specific reasons individuals visit shrines and the zāwiya of Sidi Belhassen are many, but the common factor is the search for help—be it spiritual or practical. Those who are not initiated into the ūriqa but attend one or more parts of the hadra can be divided into two groups. The first consists of those who attend in an effort to secure some saintly intercession in a crisis in their lives. The second is made up of those whose attendance is more regular and who are familiar with the ahzāb of the brotherhood. These individuals would find their association with the ūriqa spiritually beneficial in a wider sense than those of the first group, who attend only in times of crisis. Practical help is invoked by such activities as placing the sick in the
same room as the hadra, praying for help in or near the maghāra, and making personal contact with the shaykh to benefit from his baraka and his advice.43

The sanctity of the rule of the tariqa and the baraka of the shaykh are the two primary supports upon which the Shadhiliyya stands. The first, sanctity of rule, means in practice the preservation and perpetuation of the adab (decorum or discipline), the ahzāb recitation, the dhikr, and discipline, which together provide the essential structure of religious mystery for the brotherhood. The importance of the preservation of the rule and teachings of the tariqa is clear in an ijāza (authorization) issued to a muqaddam (sectional leader of a brotherhood) in Algeria at the turn of the century. In it the Shadhili Shaykh Sidi Abu al-Qasim ibn Sa'did warns that anyone who alters or distorts what he has been taught will be taken to task for it in the hereafter: “He who has changed or altered our doctrine will face judgment.”44 Following the established techniques of these activities is considered essential for a successful hadra—that is, a meaningful religious experience. For the members of the Shadhiliyya of Sidi Belhassen, the murid/murshid45 relationship existing between them and their shaykh has not the same importance as it does in other brotherhoods,46 or as it did in the earliest Sufi organizations. Rather than subject themselves to vigorous spiritual training, most individuals slowly improve themselves spiritually through regular attendance and participation in the Qur’ān and hizb recitations, the dhikr ceremonies, the hadith readings, and the lectures and sermons, all of which make up the ritual and tradition of the Shadhili rule.

According to my research, this rule does not systematically consist of any specific mystical teachings. Rather, its sanctity and mystical efficacy are to be found through proper participation. Al-Shadhili himself pointed out that the nūr (light), which is the goal of spiritual discipline and devotion, is the product of al-‘amal al-sāliḥ (correct spiritual practice).47 He also stressed the importance of keeping company with virtuous brethren or a proven shaykh.48

Higher spiritual development—which often includes study of the great Sufi thinkers, such as Ibn 'Arabi (d. 1240) and al-Ghazali (d. 1111)—is dependent on the aptitude and motivation of the individual. Few in fact aspire to this level. Although the higher works of Islamic spirituality play a minor role in the practical sanctity of the tariqa, it must be remembered that they do form the intellectual “backbone,” or the theory of Sufism’s more practical and mundane manifestations such as the rule of the tariqa. This can be seen in the many quotations of the great early Sufi thinkers in the works specific to the Shadhiliyya. In sum, the sanctity of the tariqa may accommodate a continuum of interests—from that of the simple baraka seeker to that of the advanced mystical adept.

On the practical level also, participation is left up to the individual’s degree of personal commitment. The taking of a wazifa (regimen of daily prayer recitations) is uncommon today among the Shadhiliyya of Tunis. An important member of the brotherhood states the following:

Usually Shadhilites do not have any particular wazifa; each chooses one or has one recommended to him by his shaykh, which he does in accordance with the degree of spirituality he has reached. Nevertheless, the true murids of the tariqa (who are unfortunately few these days) adopt the following: After Šalāt al-Fajr they pray (such and such). After Šalāt al-Šuh (such and such). After Šalāt al-‘Asr they recite: 11 times Sūrat al-Ikhlās, once Sūrat al-Nās,
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once Ṣūrat al-Falaq, seven times al-imizer, once “Hizb al-bahr,” one hizb of the Qur’an. After Ṣalāt al-‘Ishā they recite (such and such).49

This statement points to the fact that of the many active members of the order, only a few fully take up their spiritual discipline.

In discussing the baraka of the shaykh, it must be noted that the theory was fully developed early in the history of the tariqa. In the writings of Ibn ʿAtaʾ Allah (d. 1309), the second successor to the head of the tariqa, it is reported that al-Shadhili is incarnated in each succeeding shaykh of the tariqa.50 John Renard, in his study of Ibn ʿAbbad of Ronda (d. 1390), claims that a prevalent Shadhili theory, in the late 14th century, was that the qutb is incarnated in each succeeding shaykh.51 In Sufi theory, the qutb is the head of the “spiritual fellowship” of saints, and the power of intercession is generally attributed to him.52 The details of the theory of the qutb vary widely according to time, place, and writer. In fact, the current position among the ikhwan of Sidi Belhassen is that, although in popular practice al-Shadhili is often prayed to for intercession, this is not within his prerogative. Rather, the power of intercession lies only with an earlier saint, ʿAbd al-Qadir al-Jilani (d. 1166).

The present shaykh of Sidi Belhassen, Hassen Belhassen, although serving as a focus for divine grace, is not actually descended from al-Shadhili. According to the accounts of the members of the brotherhood, around 1800 a member of the al-Muāddib family, who was the imam at a nearby mosque, came to be appointed to the position of shaykh of the tariqa in Tunis. All the subsequent shaykhs have come from this family, which through such a long association with the zawāya and the tariqa eventually became known as Belhassen.53 Octave Depont and Xavier Coppolani in 1897 noted that of the three Shadhiliyya zawadya in Tunis at that time, the most important was that run by “Ben Hassen,” imam of the mosque of “Djema’a-Bab-el-Djezira.”54 Although the choice of successor still rests ultimately with the government (now as it did under both French and Turkish rule), the appointment is made according to a consensus reached by the leading figures of the brotherhood.

The organization of the personnel under Shaykh Belhassen is compared by the members of the tariqa to a military command. Those under the shaykh are appointed by him to their various positions of responsibility, such as shaykh al-salāt, shaykh al-Qurʾān, shaykh al-dhikr, and shaykh al-ahzāb. These individuals are responsible for leading their respective parts of the liturgy.55 A number of less important positions also exist, many of which have no liturgical significance.

The hierarchy is reflected in the seating order during the recitation of the ahzāb and the ritual eating of common meals. Here, the shaykh sits directly in front of the mihrab, facing away from it, with his closest lieutenants to his left and right and across from him in the opposing line. A man retains his position in the hierarchy of the brotherhood even if he is absent. It was related to me by the shaykh that even if a man is not seen for many years, he may return to assume his place, and that the others must make room for him. In contrast, the inalienable right does not exist in the Hamidiyya–Shadhiliyya of Egypt, who see any long absence from the hadra as signaling a lapse in membership in the tariqa.56

It is also interesting to note that the rank-and-file members of Sidi Belhassen are not subject to the same detailed rule of conduct as those of the Hamidiyya–Shadhiliyya.57
nor are they categorized in the elaborate fashion of other Egyptian Shadhili tariqīn.58 Their only prescribed duties are the memorization—to the best of their ability—of the ahzāb and regular presence at the gatherings of the brotherhood. At Sidi Belhassen, the ceremony of initiation follows a pattern common among many tariqīn. The shaykh, surrounded by a number of followers, places his hands on the sitting or kneeling applicant, and together they recite the Fāṭihā.59

FORMS OF PRAYER

As the activities of the brotherhood center on prayer, the forms used warrant further elucidation. Although many of the terms and titles to be discussed have a number of meanings, the emphasis will be on the terms as far as they represent different types of written prayer.

Of paramount importance to Islamic prayer in general is the ṣalāt (pl. ṣalawāt). This is the central prayer rite and is one of the five pillars of Islam. Each of the five daily occasions of this rite is to be preceded by a ritual ablution (wuduʾ) and may take place anywhere—except at tombs and unclean places.60

The prayers specific to the tariqī often revolve around the daily ṣalāt times for a number of reasons, the most important of which is probably to share in the ritual cleanliness of the wuduʾ.61 Many of the prayers are even assigned to follow a particular ṣalāt prayer. For example, al-Shadhili is recorded as saying that the best time to recite “Ḥizb al-barr” is after Ṣalāt al-Ṣubḥ (morning prayer).62 As for the prohibition of prayer at tombs, the zāwiyah of Sidi Belhassen in Tunis, despite the presence of graves within and around its two main buildings, maintains its status as a place of ṣalāt. In fact, the buildings are distinguished (at least in conversation) as the upper and lower “mosques.” The graves are located in rooms separate from those used for the five daily prayers.

The word ṣalāt and its plural, ṣalawāt, are also to be found as titles of prayers of supplication. In the collection Manbaʿ al-saʿdāt63 two examples are “Ṣalawāt Sidi Ahmad al-Badawi” (d. 1276) and “Al-Salat al-ʿAzīmiyya.” These prayers, not unlike others in the collection, are primarily concerned with invocations of blessing and requests for divine help.

Another term, duʿāʾ, is also important in this context. Its root meaning is to call, and with the preposition li it takes on the connotation of invoking blessing upon someone or something. Duʿāʾ (pl. adʿiyya) may be translated as call, supplication, prayer, and request, but in usage a general distinction may be made between duʿāʾ as a more personalized petition and ṣalāt as the fixed ritual duty of an individual as a member of the Islamic community.64

The topic of duʿāʾ holds an important place in the Shadhili manuals. The modern tarīqī writer ʿAbd al-Halim Mahmud (d. 1977) highlights its importance with the hadiths: “There is nothing more perfect towards God than the duʿāʾ” and “For him to whom the door of duʿāʾ is open, the doors of [God’s] compassion are also open.”65 Discussion is further made concerning strategies for maximizing the efficacy of one’s duʿāʾ. Mahmud writes, “The duʿāʾ is proper at all times . . . [but the best] time for duʿāʾ is in the last third of the night.”66 He also relates the hadith that, “The slave is closest to his Lord when praying (sājid)—so increase [your] duʿāʾ!” to which he
adds, "The places best suited for the answering of one's duʿāʾ are the pure and blessed places, the most notable of which are Mecca and Medina." And Shadhili himself says, "If you want Him to answer [your prayer] in less than the blink of an eye, you must do five things: (1) obey the [divine] command; (2) avoid that which is forbidden; (3) purify the heart; (4) show determination; and (5) perform that which is required [of you]." Also, duʿāʾ holds a significant place in Islamic practice generally. We are told that, "Because of the great importance of dhikr and duʿāʾ in Islam, Abu al-Hasan [al-Shadhili] was exhaustive in [his performance of] dhikr and duʿāʾ."

The term duʿāʾ is also a prayer title. In Manbaʿal-saʿādāt one finds a pair of short prayers, "Duʿā ʿal-basmala liʾl-Jilānī" and "Duʿā ʿal-jalāla la-hu", attributed to ʿAbd al-Qādir al-Jilānī. In the same collection are found a number of shorter adhikār intended for use at special times, such as the first day of the year and the day of ʿāshūrā.

Turning from duʿāʾ to dhikr (literally, mention or remembrance) we approach a more complex term. The significance of the ritual practice of dhikr Allāh (remembrance of God), its techniques, and its results will be dealt with later. Here I shall restrict our discussion of dhikr to its use in the context of prayer terminology. Dhikr can be seen to have three distinct meanings. The first is a usage that is tied closely to the verbal root of the term. In Al-Madrasa al-Shadhiliyya (p. 140) we find the short statement, "no one reaches God except through perseverance (dawāʾ) in dhikr." Here the meaning may be taken simply as remembrance of God, or as referring to prayer in general. The verb used in its fifth form (tadhakkara) is used to convey the idea of a sinner acknowledging his own sin.

The second usage of dhikr is as a short formula. Some formulas are recited after one or more of the ṣalāt prayers, while others are used more generally. Al-Shadhili himself recommended the recitation of the following well-known dhikr formula (ṣiḥa): "al-hamdu liʾllāh, wa-astaghfiru Allāh, wa līd hawla wa līd quwata līd biʾllāh." Knowing the special dhikr phrases of one's shaykh is vital. Ibn Ayyad writes, "he who is affiliated with one of the shaykhs of the ṭariqa, [one of] the authorities of the truth, he must know the foundation of his shaykh's way, and know the adhikār [sing. dhikr] of his shaykh." The adhikār are also often integral parts of the larger Shadhili prayers known as ḥizb. The third usage of dhikr refers to group recitation of the ḥizb of a brotherhood. Of "Hizb al-bahr" and "Hizb al-kabir," Ibn ʿAtaʿ Allah says that he recommends their recital (dhikrahumā) in both the desert and the city.

Another important prayer term is wirk (pl. awrād). Its basic verbal meaning (from the root WRD) if “to appear” or “to arrive,” but in our context as a noun it is usually defined as “the time one devotes to supererogatory prayer.” But a survey of the term’s use in the history of Sufi turuq leads to a wider definition. Wirk may refer to the ṭariqa or ṭāʾifa of a shaykh—in so far as his central message is to be found in the prayers he has written. Or, as Hassan Elboudrari points out, the wirk refers metonymically to the ṭariqa. Secondly, wirk may refer to the times appointed for the recitation of a prayer, and subsequently come to mean the adhkār, or the ḥizb which are used themselves. Finally, wirk can refer to the ritual order and recitation of prayers as established by the founder of the ṭariqa. In this way also, wirk may come to refer to the entire ṭariqa or ṭāʾifa.

In Manbaʿal-saʿādāt we find two awrād, the “Wirk al-Jalālā” attributed to ʿAbd al-Qādir al-Jilānī, and the “Wirk al-Saḥar” attributed to Mustafa al-Bakri (d. 1709).
of the Khalwatiyya. It is interesting to note that the “Wird al-Jalāla” contains instructions for the reader to recite it 4,356 times, and on each occasion to follow it with 66 repetitions of the bamala.80 (A wider study of wird as a prayer title would be necessary for us to know whether this type of specific instruction is common.) In the “Wird al-Sahār” we find an allusion to the wirk representing the essential message of the tariqa. The prayer begins, “Praise be to God who had delivered those He has willed to the watering place (mawrūd). And He has favored the people of the awrād, above the [other] worshipers, with gifts of generosity. He has granted them divine inspirations (wāridāt), which, through His sympathy for them, are occasions of good fortune.”

Wird in its plural form, awrād, often takes on the meaning of religious duties. Al-Shadhili said, “The awrād of the faithful are twenty in number: sawm (fasting), salāt, dhikr, tilāwa (recitation), . . . censure of the self from craving, enjoining the good, forbidding the evil, . . . tawakkul (trust in God), wara‘ (piety), . . .”82 Included in this discussion of the awrād of the tariqa are the requirements that the adept take an active role in the community—which includes marriage and a family—while at the same time avoiding undue attachment to worldly pleasures. Further, the shaykh stresses the personal ethic when he requires his followers to “avoid causing offense, and bear the insult.”

The term wazīfa (pl. wazīfā), often translated as duty or daily office,84 can frequently be found with awrād in our manuals. For instance, the story related by al-Shadhili that when a man asked his shaykh (Ibn Mashish) to prescribe for him wazīfā and awrād, the master angrily replied, “Am I a prophet? Do I impose obligations? The religious duties are [already] fixed, and the acts of sinning are known to all. So be faithful to the required duties and reject sin.”85 In this example, if we take awrād to mean religious duties in general, then wazīfā would refer to the prayers that make up part of those duties. Wazīfā is in fact elsewhere used as a prayer title.86 In spite of the shaykh’s point, the wazīfā does not mean only completing religious duties.87 We must recognize that wazīfā carries the further notion of personal spiritual direction managed by a guide—hence, the adept’s request. In this sense, the prayers (wazīfā) that are assigned to an adept hold in part the lesson and secrets that he is to learn. Thus, just as wirk was at first the prescribed time of prayer and later came to refer to the prayers themselves, so too does wazīfā expand; from a duty to recite certain prayers it comes also to imply their contents. In the introduction to his Kashf al-‘asrār, the modern tariqa writer Mustafa Naja states, “Know that this is the wazīfā of the sunna, from the collection of the awrād of al-Shadhili, . . . spread through him by [his master] Ibn Bashish.”88 In this statement, Naja is stressing the orthodoxy of the message of the tariqa by equating it with the sunna, the term wazīfā going beyond prayer and coming to signify the spiritual message of the tariqa.

As a title, the term wazīfā can be found in a special appended section of the Ni-brās al-atqiyyā.2 I have not been able to find any independent treatment of the term as it is used here, but the members of Sidi Belhassen maintain that they are prayers written by the followers of al-Shadhili and were inspired by the aḥzāb—hence their titles of “Wazīfā Hizb al- . . . ” In a short preface to the wazīfā collection, the Ni-brās al-atqiyyā2 states that they are part of the practice of the cave (“amal al-maghāra al-Shadhiliyya) of the saint.
Another important prayer title is *ḥizb* (pl. *ahzāb*), which has the root meaning of group or band. The term refers not only to prayers but also to half of a *juz*”, or one-sixtieth of the Qur’an—divisions used primarily to facilitate recitation. *Ḥizb* is generally the title used for longer prayers and can mean much the same as *wird*. Edouard Michaux-Bellaire defines *ḥizb* as simply a “fraction of the *wird* of an Order”; that is, the shaykh knows the *wird*, and the followers are given only pieces (ahzāb) of it. Here *wird* is taken to contain the complete spiritual message of the ṭariqa. One of the Shadhili manuals explains the relationship between the two terms thus: “Know that the truth (*ḥaqiqa*) of the *ḥizb* is the *wird* received and used in worship and its like. In usage it (*ḥizb*) is a collection of *adhkār*, *adīya*, and requests, all arranged for recital and memorizing, and for seeking shelter from evil and asking for good.” If we accept Michaux-Bellaire’s claim that the *wird* holds the secret of the ṭariqa, then the statement of this manual implies that this secret is represented, in an incomplete form, in the *ahzāb*. Leaving aside the *wird*, the Mafakhir al-‘āliyya provides a more general description of the *ḥizb*, here stressing its central importance within the ṭariqa.

Know that the *ahzāb* of the Shaykh, may God be pleased with him, are the union between the benefits of knowledge and the way of oneness, and the instruction of the ṭariqa and the sign of truth and the sublime remembrance of God, of His majesty and of His grandeur, and the remembrance of the wretchedness of the self and its vileness.

The *ahzāb* and their use will be the subject of further discussion.

Another prayer title, though less common, is *dawr* (literally, role, age, rotation). One example we have is entitled, “The Ḥizb of Protection for him who seeks power—by Muḥyī al-Dīn ibn al-ʿArabi: which is also known as the Lofty Dawr.” In a recent study undertaken in Egypt, Earl Waugh identifies common *dawr* as a vocal piece derived from colloquial poetry accompanied by a choral refrain. But in “The Ḥizb of Protection,” although the meter is kept more strictly than it is in other prayers of the collection, and each line ends in “Allāh,” there is no apparent chorus and the lines are rather long. It is therefore not clear whether *dawr* here refers to the form of recitation or whether it may be read simply as “station” or “degree.” Unfortunately, I cannot refer to the ritual context, because the Shadhiliyya in Tunis, to the best of my knowledge, does not use this *ḥizb*. (As an aside, it should be noted that at the Sidi Belhassen *zāwiya* there is no singing or playing of instruments.) From the same root comes *dāʾira*, “circle,” which in the Sufi manuals is a figure of geometric circles usually containing names and Qur’ānic words. It seems these figures are primarily used as talismans.

On the other hand, the *qaṣīda* (sing. *qaṣīda*) in Manbaʿ al-saʿādāt are specifically intended for chanting. The following is from a *qaṣīda* attributed to al-Bakri:

---

**Bi-kulli khalilin qad khalaʿ an shawāʾibin**
*Wa kulli jatilin qad jalā nūruhu*

*al-zalāmāʿa*

**Bi-ʿarshin bi-farshin bi-l-samāwātī**

*Bi-mā qad hawā qalbu al-muhaqqiqi min*

*ruḥmāʿin*

---

By every friend [of God] who was faultless
And every sublime [one] whose light
illumined the darkness

By throne, by [prayer] mat, by heavens, by heights

By what the heart of the enquirer has
gathered of mercy
Qaṣīd  are popularly used on special occasions throughout the year, particularly at mawlid celebrations. Although not the case at Sidi Belhassen, a qaṣida such as this one may be used as prayer during a ḥadra.

Another form popular prayers can take is that of the ḥirz, or amulet. In its smaller forms, a ḥirz usually contains no more than a few short extracts from the Qurʾān, but larger collections do exist. One Shadhili collection is entitled Ḥirz al-jawshan (The Amulet of the Coat of Mail), which contains a piece from the “Dalāʿil al-khayrāt” of al-Jazūlī (d. 1465) along with three ahzāb in shortened form. The purpose of an amulet is more practical than it is spiritual. Thus, this pocket-size booklet claims to be particularly useful against the ills that afflict both men and women. It can protect the traveler day and night and will defend its reader from the deceit of liars. Sorcery is thwarted, as are the evil intentions of thieves and jinn. It also helps a woman find a husband and can ease her pains during childbirth.

All of the above-mentioned prayers exist (some more prominently than others) in written form. Nevertheless, we would do injustice to the subject if we did not push on to examine their animating principle—the recitation. By animation, I mean not only the idea of performing a prayer by reading it, but also the sense of an added spiritual dimension acquired by the prayer when it is recited.

DHIKR AND AHZĀB

Before turning to the reading of prayers, we would do well to take note of the greatest recitation, the Qurʾān itself. The first point to be made is that the text of the Qurʾān is most “effective” or most fully realized when it is recited. In fact, “the authoritativeness of the Qurʾānic text is only realized in its fullness and perfection when it is correctly recited aloud. In other words, the book of holy writ (kitāb) in Islam is ultimately not a written or printed document, but a holy ‘reciting,’ or ‘recitation.’” The second point to make is that from the perspective of an individual worshiper, recitation maximizes one’s spiritual interaction with the material at hand. William Graham refers to this spiritual interaction when he writes, “Meaning is carried by the recitation over and above the particular meaning of the literal passage recited, however deeply felt and understood that meaning may be on an intellectual plane.” This “meaning” is available even to those who by virtue of illiteracy or a language barrier do not understand the literal meaning of the Arabic. The issue of recitation is relevant to discussion of the ahzāb, but let us first turn to another important recitation, that of the names of God (dhikr asmāʾ Allāh).

In one of the earliest systematic works written on the theory and practice of dhikr, Miftāḥ al-falāḥ wa mišbāḥ al-awrāḥ (written circa 1304), Ibn ʿAtaʾ Allāh writes, “Dhikr is to free oneself from negligence of forgetfulness by the permanent presence of the heart with God (al-Ḥaqq).” In this work, dhikr is divided into three categories: that of the tongue (verbal), that of the heart, and that of the Secret dhikr. Ibn ʿAtaʾ Allāh writes, “Invoking the letters of God’s Name without presence of mind is invocation of the tongue; invoking with presence of mind is invocation of the heart; and invoking with an absence of self-awareness because of absorption in the Invoked is the invocation of the Self (or Secret)—this is the hidden invocation!”

The dhikr of the tongue, although only a first step, has practical benefits. For the novice it is the practice by which he may learn to discipline and focus himself. Ibn
Ata Allah suggests dhikr formulas such as: Allāh ma'āyiya (God is watching me) and Allāh nāżirun ilayya (God is looking at me).

On the second level, that of the heart (qalb), the dhikr is internalized. This marks the first stage of extinction (fanā') of the individual's identity into that of the divine. At the next level it is by “extinction of extinction” that one accedes to direct contemplation of the divine truth. This is the secret dhikr, where differentiation between the individual and the object of remembrance is lost. Ibn 'Ata Allah characterizes this level as one in which the lights (of revelation) do not disappear; in other words, the divine illumination becomes constant. He adds that you may abandon the first two dhikrs, but the third, once attained, will never leave you.

Louis Gardet makes the observation that there have been two distinct lines, or forms, of dhikr. In the older form, it is simply one of the methods of prayer. In the second (beginning with Abu Hamid al-Ghazali), dhikr comes to represent “procedures” by which one may attain spiritual states. This observation is essentially accurate as long as it is remembered that dhikr in the first form did not disappear with the advent of dhikr in the second form. Our discussion of the various uses of the term dhikr—particularly in relation to the prayer titles cited earlier—sets out examples of the use of dhikr in this more primitive sense.

The dhikr of “procedures,” an essential part of the ritual of a tariqa, consists of the recitation of the names of God. Practice varies between brotherhoods and even between shaykhs. For the Shadhiliyya tariqa in general, the ritual event is called a ḥadrā and usually consists of qasā'id, Qur'an, and ahzāb recitations (in varying order and amounts), which are then followed by the dhikr Allāh. But the ḥadrā is somewhat different in the case of the Sidi Belhassen za-wiya of Tunis. There the primary dhikr takes place on Saturday morning, prefaced by a short Qur'anic recitation. The larger ḥadrā, on Thursday night, is highlighted by the recitation of the ahzāb rather than the dhikr.

Of the formulas to be used, we know that Ibn 'Ata Allah recommended that only the words Allāh and Huwa (He) be used. He also preferred that dhikr be carried out in khalwa (retreat, solitude). At Sidi Belhassen, the dhikr—as a group activity—is carried out either at night or in a dark, closed hall. There the dhikr consists of Allāh, and Huwa, with a few short Qur'anic verses added from time to time by the shaykh of the dhikr. In contrast to the exclusive use of these two words, Ibn 'Ayyad quoted 'Abd al-Wahhab al-Sharani (d. 1565), saying that lā ilaha illā allāh is the most efficacious dhikr formula. It is interesting to note that both Ibn 'Ata Allah and 'Abd al-Wahhab al-Sharani recommend that the adept engaged in dhikr focus on the image of his shaykh during his recitation.

The dhikr of Sidi Belhassen is carried out by two lines of men standing facing each other. At first Allāh is pronounced very slowly and in low tones (so slowly, in fact, that the name is difficult to say in one breath). The recitation speeds up, and the two lines begin alternating in pronouncing the name. This is necessary because one cannot properly pronounce the word at the higher speeds. When the recitation reaches an unsustainable speed, the shaykh of the dhikr stops it and starts the process again with Huwa. Sessions may last up to an hour and a half.

There are in fact two shaykhs of the dhikr. One participates in the recitation (he not only controls its speed but must also call out to those who are bordering on hysteria to keep them in line, while the other stays near the door of the hall and lets
the active shaykh know when he should end the dhikr. This second shaykh controls access to the hall. If there are not many people, or the participants are not very experienced, the dhikr will be ended after approximately forty-five minutes. At this point, the room is relighted, and Shaykh Belhassen enters and takes his place at the mihrab of the hall. Remaining in two lines, the men sit and recite the “Fatiha” a number of times. Shaykh Belhassen says short prayers between the recitations, and a common meal of bread, olives, and water is served to all—with the remains prized for baraka.

The ahzâb of al-Shadhili are recited primarily at the communal hadra. The summer season at Sidi Belhassen is the high point for these recitations, attracting hundreds of participants each night. The ahzâb are read in a special cycle over the fourteen summer weeks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>Recitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Hizb al-kabir (Hizb al-âyât)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Hizb al-kabir (Hizb al-barr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Hizb al-ḥamât and Wazîfât hizb al-ḥamât</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Hizb al-fâth and Wazîfât hizb al-fâth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Hizb al-tawaṣṣul and Wazîfât hizb al-tawaṣṣul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Hizb al-lutf and Wazîfât hizb al-lutf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Hizb al-kabir (Hizb al-âyât)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Hizb al-kabir (Hizb al-barr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Hizb al-ḥamât</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Wazîfâ hizb al-ḥamât</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Hizb al-fâth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Hizb al-tawaṣṣul</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Hizb al-lutf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Hizb al-kabir (Hizb al-âyât)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, the Qur’an is recited collectively twice in its entirely over the fourteen-week cycle.

The ahzâb are also recited on Friday evenings throughout the year according to a different cycle that, though less attended, includes a greater variety of ahzâb and wazâ’ifâ. There are also six festival days (mawâsim) in the year in which the ahzâb are recited by the Shadhili brotherhood, joined by various groups from the other tu’ruq of Tunis, who are invited to celebrate with them. The Shadhiliyya is renowned for its ahzâb recitation. Let us now turn our attention to the significance of the ahzâb on a spiritual or experiential level.

Mention must be made of the important role of the ahzâb, first as the occasion for the “religious experience” of the individual (attained by grasping the spiritual essence of the Shadhili way), and second as the animating experiential element underlying the entire tariqa. In other words, recitation of the ahzâb is the practice that provides the individual members with the opportunity to experience the intense feelings that make up the emotional state so often described as ecstatic. (The recitation can send individuals into highly agitated states. I observed men sweating profusely, others crying, and on occasion some with a wide-eyed blank expression who could barely stay seated and recited almost at the top of their voices. This last condition is certainly not pleasing to the shaykh of the ḥadra, although, as with those who are
overly expressive in the dhikr ceremony, it is a common occurrence—the feeling being that such a one has only been swept a little overboard. The overly enthusiastic reciter will be gently restrained by his fellows if he becomes too disruptive.) In this capacity, the recitation engenders circumstances that are the tarla’s raison d’être—experience of the divine truth that is at the heart of the Shadhili tariqa. The experience available through these prayers is thus the animating principle of the entire brotherhood, because it provides the spiritual message that the tariqa is set up to propagate. Put concisely, this “intangible” experience, which is reached through the ahzāb, invests the “tangible” organization with its deepest purpose—the spiritual reality of the saint’s way.

The ahzāb themselves are attributed a status near that of revelation. One reason is that al-Shadhili was inspired in their composition by figures such as the Prophet and al-Khidr. In fact the saints’ knowledge of God, which they have acquired by the “taste (dhawq) of actuality,” has been divinely imparted to them. The recitation of the ahzāb is thus an important act of spiritual significance, and “no one hears one of them without being deeply affected, nor does one read them without a similar result.” In commenting on a statement made by al-Shadhili concerning “Hizb al-kabir”—“Whoever recites this litany possesses what I possess, and must do what I must do”—Ibn ʿAbbad writes:

In other words, it is as though the shaykh were saying, “If an individual recites the litany (Hizb al-kabir) with upright intention and sincere hope, and is a lover following our path as embodied in the litany . . . he has reached the rank of sainthood reserved for me; he has entered into my litany, and thus my sponsorship.”

Thus the message of the tariqa, being contained in the ahzāb, is essentially of divine inspiration (if not origin). And through the rule of the tariqa, the seeker may have access to the spiritual reality of the ahzāb and hence to a divinely inspired truth.

Another aspect of the recitation of the ahzāb is that in undertaking this activity the individual becomes one of the small special group of Muslims who have, through their practice of the tariqa, placed themselves farther along on the path to God. They are the followers of one of the awliyāʾ (friends) of God, and they reaffirm this following largely by reciting the unique prayers he has left for them. The power of the saint is available to all who will follow his tariqa. Al-Shadhili himself speaks of the saints as the conduits for divine light directed toward the world. He says, “Intercession is the pouring of light upon the essence (jawhar) of prophethood, and it spreads from the essence of prophethood to the prophets and the saints, and the lights flow from the saints and the prophets to creation.”

At the same time, the tariqa, as a set of rituals and rules, fosters the discipline and tradition that make the ahzāb recitation both possible and effective. In this way, the rule of the brotherhood provides the framework in which the ahzāb are relevant and in which they are perpetuated. Regular contact between the members of all ranks has a subtle yet strong influence on the spiritual evolution of an individual. As Waugh remarks, “Within the network one learns not only how to act, but how to respond to the realities that make up Sufi life.” In the Mafākhīr al-ʿaliyya the importance of this communal interaction is made clear: “All this [pious activity and spiritual knowledge] is not accomplished by you except by keeping company with virtuous brethren.
or a proven shaykh." It is from among the brothers of the tariqa that an individual will find his role models, and it is these people who will have the greatest hand in his spiritual development.

Relevant to the dynamic of hizb recitation is Waugh's discussion of dhikr, in which he describes the impact that a well-performed hadra can have. He writes, "The dhikr, when it is said to be 'good' by the participants, takes them out of their collective selves and makes them, in the moment of enthusiastic experience, into a cohesive and vibrant ensemble." This first step toward the intangible is achieved through a corporate effort. Of communal prayer, Louis Rinn notes the importance of the group:

All Muslims are convinced that prayers are most effective when completed collectively, and that God attaches particular merit to them. This solidarity [in prayer] goes far in erasing the personality [and individuality] of the adept, since he belongs not only to his shaykh but even more so to his brothers; all of which promises benefits for him if he remains on the path. Thus, part of the essential message is to be grasped by the individual both as he is subsumed by the group identity and as he is personally directed by the rule of the tariqa.

At Sidi Belhassen, the ahzab recitation is very difficult. Not only are the prayers themselves many and rather long, taking years to memorize, but the complex reading technique must also be mastered. Those more accomplished lead the younger and the less dedicated in the recitation, thus training them in proper technique. This technique is vital to keeping the ahzab recitation alive and meaningful for the brothers.

It is clear from the above description that much of the significance of the ahzab is functional. They are important texts in large part due to the devotional practices and experience that they represent.

CONCLUSION

From this study of al-Shadhili's legacy, we may conclude that the power of his sanctity is an animating force present in the functioning of both the zawiya complex and the brotherhood. Further, the zawiya and brotherhood strengthen each other—each presenting a complimentary manifestation of the saint's legacy. Each might survive without the other, but their close relationship is doubtless responsible for much of their success and longevity. Again, they are complimentary forms of al-Shadhili's legacy. If the saint is present to the zawiya site via his hagiography and popular legends, he is present in the tariqa largely through his ahzab.

The role of the tariqa is to provide a structure and a catalyst for the spiritual development of its members. Simplifying matters to make a point, we may equate structure with the adab (rule) of the tariqa and catalyst with the spiritual authority of the saint. The sanctity and position of the founder (and to some extent, the subsequent shaykhs) were established by his achievements, both miraculous and intellectual. It is this position that allowed the saint to establish a rule and that continues to invest the tariqa with a sense of spiritual authority.

Recitation of the prayers of al-Shadhili allows the individual to reassert his devotion to the saint—to reconnect with his spiritual guide. On one level, the individual is part of the group structure, but on another he remains a single devoted follower (murid) of the great shaykh. It is largely through the ahzab that this contact is
reestablished. In fact, they are the “message” of the ṭariqa, in the sense that to participate fully and experience them is to experience the spiritual truth of the saint.

The prayers, in addition to their status as the text of the recorded inspiration of the saint, also serve an immediate ritual function within the practice of the brotherhood. Both of these elements must be appreciated if the significance of the ʿahzāb is to be understood.
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